CERIAS

The Center for Education and Research in Information Assurance and Security

DPFace: Formal Privacy for Facial Images

Tao Li, Rohan Ashok, Chris Clifton Dept. of Computer Science, Purdue University

Overview

- Growing privacy concerns from surveillance and social media exposure;
- We provide the first formal privacy guarantee for facial images;
- Maintain image fidelity while providing rigorous math guarantee.





Fig. 1: Privatized Faces of the Authors.

Method



Fig. 2: Overview of the Image Privatization Framework.

• Step 1: From a public dataset D, select a cohort D₁ based on predefined attributes (e.g., race, age, gender) and compute empirical sensitivity for each latent component z_i:

 $\Delta_j = \max_{i \in D_L} (z_{ij}) - \min_{i \in D_L} (z_{ij}).$

• Step 2: Apply encoder ϕ to obtain latent representation $z=\phi(x;\theta_{\phi});$ clip each component z_i to remain within the sensitivity bounds Δ_{j} : $z'_j = f_c(z_j; \Delta_j).$

Fig. 4: The "Clipping" Mechanism.

Experimental Results



Fig. 5: Facial images with different privacy settings.

• Step 3: Infuse Laplace noise into z':

 $z'' = \mathcal{A}(z'; \Delta)$

• Step 4: Re-clip z' within bounds Δ :

 $z''' = f_c(z''; \Delta)$

While not necessary for privacy, this reduces image distortion.

• Step 5: Generate the privatized image:

 $\hat{x} = \psi(z'''; \theta_{\psi})$



Fig 3: An Example of Image Encoding



Fig. 6: Identity Distance and Privacy Loss.

Next: Formal Privacy for Gaits (Work in Progress)

- Gait is a unique biometric posing substantial privacy risks;
- Challenges: sequentiality, variability, spatiotemporal complexity.



Fig. 7: 3D Mesh and Skeleton.





