# CERIAS

The Center for Education and Research in Information Assurance and Security

## Are My Deep Learning Systems Fair? An Empirical Study of Fixed-Seed Training

**Shangshu Qian**<sup>1</sup>, Hung Viet Pham<sup>2</sup>, Thibaud Lutellier<sup>2</sup>, Zeou Hu<sup>2</sup>, Jungwon Kim<sup>1</sup>, Lin Tan<sup>1</sup>, Yaoliang Yu<sup>2</sup>, Jiahao Chen<sup>3</sup>, Sameena Shah<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Purdue University <sup>2</sup>University of Waterloo <sup>3</sup>J.P. Morgan AI Research

#### Motivation: Deep learning (DL) training is non-deterministic even with a fixed random seed.

Rerun: 20.4%	Two runs with the <b>same</b> random seed, data, and hyperparameters		<ul> <li>2.5% bias difference is caused by DL software implementations (e.g., TensorFlow).</li> <li>Floating-point calculations are not associative.</li> </ul>
Run: 17.9%			<ul> <li>DL software selects primitive operations at runtime.</li> </ul>
Unfair	200/	· DI throchold	used in one U.S. legal case
Fair	20%	. Di tillesilolu	useu III Ulle <b>U.S. legal Case</b> .

			Bas	eline	Debiased				
Variance affects the evaluation of debiasing algorithms: debiasing algorithms increase bias amplification.		One ru	n	7.8%	6.1% Mor	e fair			
	Avg. (	Avg. of 16 runs		7.4%	8.7% Less fair				
Motivation: Over 80% <sup>[1]</sup> of DL researchers and practit	tioners are <b>u</b>	naware	or unsure a	bout varian	ce in DL mod	el training!			
Q26 <sup>[1]</sup> - Do you expect fixed-seed identical DL training runs to be deterministic?									
Fixed-seed identical runs $63.4\%$ Yes Maybe Mo 63.4% 20.4% $60%$ 8	16.2%	A va	riance analys	is on DL moo	dels' fairness is	needed!			
Approach: Fairness Variance Analysis	Finding: Soft	ware alo	one causes la	arge fairnes	s gap ( <b>up to 1</b>	.2.6%)!			
Fixed-Seed Identical Training (FIT) Runs	echnique	Metric	MaxDiff (%)	Max (%)	Min (%)	Avg (%)			
Using the <b>same</b>	A-L2	DP	12.6	39.9	27.3	35.2			
<ul> <li>Random seed</li> <li>Train test split</li> </ul>	S-GR	DI	11.8	31.1	21.3	28.5			
Training data     Hyperparameters     Fi	i <b>nding:</b> Hidde	n cost c	of debiasing	includes hig	gher fairness v	variance.			
Normal I training I training I training I training I training I training	out <b>one third</b> ( <mark></mark>	<mark>53</mark> /154)	154 b exper	ias mitigation iments	Increase f varian	airness ce (53)			



Statistical analysis (baseline VS debiased)

- Mann-Whitney U-test for mean value
- Levene's test for variance

of the bias mitigation experiments **increases** fairness variance compared with the corresponding baseline.



### Finding: Most (15 out of 22) debiasing techniques increase at least one



We call for awareness of **implementation-level non-determinism**: **using proper statistical tests** to ensure the validity of deep learning experiments and more!





#### [1] Pham, Qian, Wang, Lutellier, Rosenthal, Tan, Yu, & Nagappan. Problems and opportunities in training deep

#### learning software systems: an analysis of variance. ASE 2020.



