

OC4-CE9 - Dynamic Signature Verification and Forgery – S. J. Elliott



the center for education and research in information assurance and security

Dynamic Signature Verification and Forgery C.R. Blomeke, J.R. Padfield, C. J. Bane, S.J. Elliott, Ph.D **Biometrics Performance, Standards, and Assurance Laboratory**

Objective:

•Define a 9 level scale of forgery training

•Determine if there is a statistically significant difference among the variables across levels 2 - 9 of training

Methodology:

• A group of 25 volunteers were trained at Level 1 and then instructed to attempt the forgery

•The same group of volunteers would move to the next training level, complete the training, and attempt the next forgery

•The forgery attempts were then compared to a set of 25 genuine signatures

Results

•A general increase in forgery quality did not result across the levels of increased training

•Some variables were progressively worse with additional training.

•Speed and total distance traveled by the pen were 2 factors that made a forgery easily detectable when the genuine signature was traced



•Some subjects had considerable variation of speed across the levels of training.

entis SignatureScope - [Signature Images]

Reph Eller

File View Options Signature Window He Wit Unter Mitte 11 In Mitte aun ant Und Will

Figure 2 – Sample signatures for each of the levels (2 - 9) in comparison to the genuine signature in the lower left hand corner



Practice the Trace the signature 10 times before forgery attempt

Figure 1- Diagram of the forgery training levels

Level 5

genuine

signature

Conclusions:

•The lack of increasing similarity of forgery attempts and the genuine signature over the levels suggest that a forger that is coached by an authentic signer is no more likely to succeed at forging the signature than someone who has only seen the name printed on a business card.

•Forgers were able to mimic the genuine signature for 14 of the measured variables at Level 3, the most of any level.

•Additional work should be done to increase the sample size of forgers, and to also increase the number of forgery samples created at each level to determine a normal range of variation for each subject, and get

Figure 3 – A boxplot showing the difference in variation of the forgeries at each level (2 – 9) versus the genuine signer (level 10) for the speed of the signature.

Figure 4 – A plot of the mean value of the aspect ratio (width to height) of the signature by subject and level. Subject 73 and level 10 correspond to the genuine signer.

a better idea of the variation due to training.

•The pen speed and total pen distance traveled are 2 variables that would be useful in an algorithm for detecting tracings of genuine signatures.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY



