QUICKEST DETECTION OF SUDDEN TRAFFIC CHANGES IN NETWORKS

Ravi R. Mazumdar, Catherine Rosenberg and Edward Coyle

> School of ECE Purdue University

Joint work with P. Dube (INRIA, France CERIAS Symposium, Purdue, April 27, 2001

Overview

- Motivation
- Issues
- Framework
- Model
- Main results
- Discussion of results
- Simulation results
- Conclusion and future issues

 $\mathbf{2}$

Motivation

The objective of this research is to see whether signatures network anomalies can be found in traffic measurements. is to be able develop network monitoring algorithms based traffic measurements in order to take preventive measures as possible.



Traffic anomalies arise due to many causes:

1. Concerted attacks on a particular location or even a su security

2. Failure of network elements: routers etc. which can inv rerouting

3. Occurrence of a "hotspot".

4. Presence of large users

Effects of sudden changes

Network congestion: Affects latency, causes throughput to drastically reduced. This can have a multiplicative effect : some parts of the network inaccessible and routers to be or This is particularly important because TCP works based loss and thus the rate is reduced.

Issues

- 1. Detect as soon as possible to take preventive action
- 2. Network anomaly can often be only perceived through secondary effects (local information)
- 3. Need to differentiate between anomaly and "normal" variation: Reduce false alarms
- 4. Devise algorithms which do not need global network information and can be easily distributed

Consider traffic passing through a router which is associate particular part of the network. Normal traffic on the aver bits per sec.

At some random time the rate changes to λ_2 per sec.

How can we detect this based on measurements?

Naive approach: Take empirical averages over certain inte How long should they be?

Can we guarantee that we will not exceed a certain proba false alarm? This is to differentiate between normal fluctu and a genuine change.

The key is that we must have a statistical model for the t otherwise we cannot address the false alarm issue

The naive approach needs too long a window to achieve a false alarm.

However there is a very nice framework available which ca algorithms which can detect changes in the minimal possi (on average)

The theory is called Optimal Stopping Theory

Problem formulation

Consider a random process $\{X_k\}$. Suppose that before a random θ the probability distribution of X_k is given by $P_{1,k}$ θ the distribution of X_k is given by $P_{2,k}$ i.e.:

$$P_k = P_{1,k} \mathbf{1}_{(k < \theta)} + P_{2,k} \mathbf{1}_{(k \ge \theta)}$$

We observe a history \mathcal{F}_k . This history gives the desired probabilistic information about X_k .

Problem: based on observing \mathcal{F}_k , determine whether θ has or not with the minimal possible delay subject to the profalse alarm being $\leq \alpha$, for $\alpha \ll 1$.

Problem formulation (contd)

Stated in mathematical terms the problem is:

Find a decision rule τ^* (called an optimal stopping rule) s

 $egin{argmin} & au^* & = & argminE[(au- heta)^+] \\ & subject \ to & P(au < heta) \leq lpha \end{cases}$

The term $E[(\tau - \theta)^+]$ is called the detection delay. $P(\tau < \theta)$ is the probability of false alarm

Main result

Let $\pi_n = P(\theta \le n | \mathcal{F}_n)$ be the aposteriori probability of the time θ occurring before n.

Theorem:

If π_n is a transitive statistic w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n i.e. $\pi_n = f_n(\pi_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_n)$ $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{T_m, m \leq n\}$ then there exists a constant $A(\alpha)$ such the stopping time:

$$\tau^* = \inf\{n : \pi_n \ge A(\alpha)\}$$

is optimal in the class of stopping times τ s.t. $P(\tau < \theta) \leq$ It can be shown that $A(\alpha) \approx 1 - \alpha$.

Application to traffic models

A good traffic model is the following: Consider N heterog independent sources, each of which is on-off. When the *i*th ON it transmits at rate $h_i(n)$,

The N sources enter the buffer of a router. Let at any dis n, X_n be the random variable denoting the aggregate numpackets entering the node.

At time n, let S_n^i be the state of the source. $S_n^i = 1(0)$ if is on(off) respectively. Then we can write

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i(n) S_n^i$$

and

$$h_i(n) = h_1(n)\mathbf{1}_{(n < \theta)} + h_2(n)\mathbf{1}_{(n \ge \theta)}$$

If X_n is a so-called Markov modulated fluid and an aprior geometric distribution with parameter p is given for θ , the be shown that:

$$\pi_{n+1}^{\pi,x} = \frac{\pi_n^{\pi,x} P^1(X_{n+1}|X_n) + (1 - \pi_n^{\pi,x}) P^0(X_{n+1}|X_n)}{\pi_n^{\pi,x} P^1(X_{n+1}|X_n) + (1 - \pi_n^{\pi}) P^0(X_{n+1}|X_n) + (1 - \pi_n^{\pi,x})(1 - p) P^0(X_{n+1}|X_n)}$$

or π_n is a transitive statistic with respect to $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{X_m\}$ What this result says is that it is possible to detect chang optimally if we measure total rates

Concluding remarks and future work

- A framework for developing detection rules has been a
- Further work will involve sensitivity to priors (this is issue if algorithm is re-started after a window of lengt What is the appropriate size of N?
- What if the new parameters are unknown: approach statistics will be studied
- Other information flows will be studied including dela information
- Decentralized detection: multiple distributed sensors.

These algorithms will always beat algorithms based on en means even though we can get priors wrong.